1	
2	CITY OF LAWRENCE, KANSAS
3	
4	LAWRENCE FAIR HOUSING ORDINANCE
5	50th ANNIVERSARY ORAL HISTORY PROJECT
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Interview of Robert Casad
12	October 24, 2016
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	MR. ARNOLD: Today is October 24th, 2016. I
2	am local historian Tom Arnold interviewing Dr.
3	Robert Casad in his apartment at Presbyterian
4	Manor in Lawrence, Kansas, for the City of
5	Lawrence Fair Housing Ordinance 50th Anniversary
6	Oral History Project.
7	At the time the ordinance passed in July,
8	1967, Dr. Casad was a law professor on the faculty
9	of the University of Kansas Law School in
10	Lawrence.
11	Sir, to start off why don't you just tell me
12	a little bit about your background, you don't have
13	to go into too much detail and what you were doing
14	in Lawrence in the mid to late 1960s.
15	DR. CASAD: Well, I came here from law
16	practice in Minnesota to be on the faculty. I had
17	been at the University of Kansas as a student, got
18	my A.B. and M.A. here, and then went to Michigan
19	Law School and practiced law briefly in Minnesota,
20	and the opportunity to become a professor opened
21	up and I came down here. It was an opportunity to
22	come back to a place that I liked. I am a native
23	Kansan and have spent virtually all my life here.
24	What else would you
25	(14:35:43)

1	MR. ARNOLD: So by the mid 1960s you were
2	actually a professor teaching law at the law
3	school at K.U.?
4	DR. CASAD: Yes.
5	MR. ARNOLD: Okay, great. How would you
6	describe the city at that time, in the '50s and
7	'60s, and the racial environment and what forms of
8	discrimination were apparent?
9	DR. CASAD: Well, of course it was much
10	smaller, and as far as the racial environment,
11	there was segregation in movie theaters. Well,
12	that had pretty much vanished by the late '60s.
13	That is something we accomplished in student
14	politics when we were students, we got them to at
15	least allow black people to attend movie theaters
16	that did not have balconies, and that was an
17	accomplishment then.
18	But there was a swimming pool here in
19	Lawrence, and I believe it was a municipal
20	swimming pool, but when agitation began for racial
21	equality I believe they sold the swimming pool to
22	private enterprise so that they wouldn't be forced
23	to desegregate the swimming pool. At the time
24	Ottawa had a swimming pool and Baldwin had a
25	public swimming pool, neither of which were

segregated, but Lawrence was segregated.

1

25

2 I don't think the schools were technically 3 segregated, although virtually all of the black students went to either Pinckney or Woodlawn or 4 New York School, grade schools. I don't really 5 know much about the junior highs. I'm trying to 6 think. 7 I think there was only one junior high at that time, just trying to think. Yes, I think 8 9 West and South and Central Junior Highs were 10 organized somewhat later, as I recall, but I'm not positive about the dates. They may have been 11 12 already existing by 1960.

The high school was not segregated, although seems to me that black students were discouraged from participating in the athletic events because I rarely saw them performing for Lawrence High.

17 The high school I went to in Wichita was not 18 segregated, although the grade schools in Wichita 19 were segregated up until the eighth grade and 20 after that -- no, up until the ninth grade. After 21 that they, black students, went to the public high 22 schools, there were two then in Wichita, North and East, but Lawrence was surprisingly very racially 23 24 segregated at that time.

You would think a city founded by the

1 abolitionists would have been much more willing to 2 be in the forefront of desegregation, but Lawrence 3 wasn't, and there was great resistance to ultimately building a public swimming pool for 4 that principal reason. We had to have a similar 5 campaign to get a public swimming pool in 6 7 Lawrence. (14:40:38) 8 9 MR. ARNOLD: Right. The first protests 10 regarding the swimming pool were in 1960 and a group that was involved with those protests, or at 11 least was advising the African-American groups who 12 13 were protesting, was the Lawrence League for the 14 Promotion of Democracy. Were you involved with that organization? It was kind of a social 15 16 justice activist league. 17 DR. CASAD: No, I wasn't. 18 MR. ARNOLD: Okay. 19 **DR. CASAD:** My involvement was just my 20 personal beliefs and the fact that my wife was 21 actively involved in the Lawrence United Church 22 Women. 23 MR. ARNOLD: Right. 24 DR. CASAD: And they were actively involved 25 in trying to promote racial desegregation in

1 Lawrence. 2 (14:41:35) MR. ARNOLD: Right. Now, you had mentioned 3 that most of the African-American students went 4 to, in elementary school, Woodlawn, which is in 5 North Lawrence, New York, which is in East 6 Lawrence, and then Pinckney, which serves parts of 7 the Pinckney neighborhood in Old West Lawrence, so 8 it was pretty evident in terms of segregation that 9 10 those were the areas --DR. CASAD: Yes, uh-huh. 11 MR. ARNOLD: -- where the majority of 12 13 African-Americans lived. DR. CASAD: Residential, there was de facto 14 residential segregation. 15 16 (14:42:02) MR. ARNOLD: Right. Now, to a significant 17 18 degree that segregation was sustained because of 19 real estate practices that real estate agents, if 20 an African-American came to town looking for 21 housing, even if they could afford to live 22 anywhere they would tend to steer them towards the 23 African-American neighborhoods. Was that 24 something people were aware of at that time? Was that kind of a --25

Oh, I think they were, and in 1 DR. CASAD: 2 fact in some parts of the city the, in fact in West Hills, where we ultimately bought a house in 3 4 1968, there were racially restrictive clauses in 5 the covenants of the deeds. The property, all of them have covenants running with the land that 6 7 prevented the sale of that land to persons, I think they even included Jews in there, that 8 9 people had to be white Christians in order to live 10 in that neighborhood, and those, you know, later developed areas, most of them did have racial 11 12 covenants in the properties, so I don't know how 13 many people who weren't actively involved were aware of it but I certainly was, and it was 14 15 evident just from looking at the city where black 16 people had to live.

(14:44)

17

MR. ARNOLD: What would you say at that time 18 19 were the most obvious or known impediments to 20 bringing about change? Was it certain, just racial attitudes of a certain established group of 21 22 residents of the city or was it other factors, or 23 was it just kind of the national environment, that Lawrence was just indicative of the nation? 24 25 DR. CASAD: Well, I think Lawrence was

indicative of the nation. If anything it was 1 2 probably a little bit advanced over a lot of 3 places, but I think it was the fear that real estate prices would plummet if they allowed 4 African-Americans to live anywhere except in those 5 areas where they were already contaminated, and I 6 7 think that was probably one of the principal forces behind the continued practice of race 8 segregation in everything. 9 10 Businesses were afraid that they'd lose customers if they opened their stores, and 11 restaurants especially, to black people, but I 12 13 don't know, I can't remember exactly how much of 14 that, of racial segregation continued in the 15 restaurants by that time. I think it probably did 16 but I'm not, I really am not certain when the 17 racial segregation in the restaurants was ended, probably not until 1964, the Civil Rights Act. 18 19 (14:46:05) MR. ARNOLD: Right. Well, some of it changed 20 21 to a degree in the late '50s after -- I don't know 22 whether you ever have heard of the story of Wilt Chamberlain and four other African-American 23 24 athletes [actually three other athletes]. 25 DR. CASAD: Oh yes.

1	<b>MR. ARNOLD:</b> going to the chancellor and
2	saying, "If you don't get the restaurant owners
3	downtown to open their businesses up to us we're
4	gonna transfer out of K.U.," and
5	DR. CASAD: Yes.
6	MR. ARNOLD: some change was brought about
7	because of that, which shows you how much prestige
8	Wilt brought to the program, and
9	DR. CASAD: Yes.
10	MR. ARNOLD: how that
11	DR. CASAD: I do remember that when a
12	basketball team would go and have dinner together
13	before games or something like that LaVannes
14	Squires, who was the first black athlete allowed
15	to participate on university varsity teams, was
16	not allowed to eat with the other team members and
17	Phog Allen himself required the owner of the
18	Jayhawk Cafe, which was where they liked to eat,
19	which is right down there on Ohio Street at 14th,
20	to permit LaVannes Squires to eat with the team.
21	Yes, I remember that. That was in the '50s,
22	though, I think.
23	(14:47:35)
24	MR. ARNOLD: Right, late '50s. '59, I
25	believe.

1 Now that's an example of how K.U. students 2 certainly had some influence in bringing about 3 change in the city, but what's also obvious when you read about the history of the groups that were 4 advocating for change was the involvement of 5 people like yourselves, K.U. faculty members. 6 Did 7 you find that to be the case, that many of your colleagues, in addition to yourself, and their 8 9 spouses often were often interested in pursuing 10 change and supporting these organizations that were advocating for social justice? 11 I think that, yes, members of the 12 DR. CASAD: 13 faculty were generally sympathetic with 14 desegregation, but I don't remember how active most of them were in that regard, but I'm sure 15 16 they voted for the measures that would tend to 17 desegregate the city whenever issues came up like 18 that. 19 I know the churches were actively involved in 20 helping to desegregate the city, to promote racial 21 equality. Committee on Racial Equality, is that 22 what you were talking about, C-O-R-E? 23 MR. ARNOLD: CORE was --24 DR. CASAD: Yes, yes. 25 MR. ARNOLD: That's --

1	DR. CASAD: I remember that as a student.
2	MR. ARNOLD: Right.
3	DR. CASAD: I don't remember them involved in
4	this.
5	(14:49:24)
6	MR. ARNOLD: Yes, many faculty members were
7	involved in things like, or their spouses, in
8	League of Women Voters, the Fair Housing
9	Coordinating Committee, the United Church Women,
10	but, as you say, in addition to the faculty
11	members and spouses the churches also I think you
12	often found being very heavily involved in some of
13	these types of organizations.
14	DR. CASAD: Uh-huh.
15	(14:49:50)
16	MR. ARNOLD: And then the other one that I
17	had mentioned earlier, the League for the
18	Promotion of Democracy, which was active from the
19	late '40s until about '64, also pursued those
20	types of issues and had quite a bit of faculty
21	involvement.
22	Would you say that, was there any, did you
23	ever sense any resentment on the part of long-time
24	residents of the city with faculty members wanting
25	to stir things up and bring about change,

1 DR. CASAD: Oh yes. 2 **MR. ARNOLD:** -- did you ever sense that? And how would you describe kind of --3 4 DR. CASAD: I think there was always some tension between the town and gown that -- and I 5 don't know, I can't put my finger on specific 6 7 instances that would give me that feeling but I tended to get the idea that people in the city 8 resented these outsiders coming in and trying to 9 10 change their community, and I think the Journal-World wasn't especially sympathetic to the 11 12 changes, although, as I recall, they were never, 13 they never actively attempted to oppose it. 14 As example of our -- I was, I was invited to write this article here, and I don't remember if 15 16 it was by the Journal-World or whether the 17 Journal-World invited the Committee on Fair 18 Housing to submit some kind of an article and they 19 designated me to do it, I can't remember the 20 circumstances. 21 (14:51:50) 22 MR. ARNOLD: Right. Yes, there were actually 23 seven articles that were published in a series in 24 February of 1967. You authored one of them, 25 obviously, and there were six others that were

1	either authored by specific individuals or
2	authorship wasn't attributed but all kind of in
3	favor, examining different aspects of the fair
4	housing issue and arguing in favor. I was going
5	to ask you if you recall how that
6	DR. CASAD: Who were the other
7	MR. ARNOLD: One was a sociology professor, I
8	don't have the names with me, but I think three of
9	them were professors in addition to yourself, but
10	it appeared they were all members of the Fair
11	Housing Coordinating Committee, so it looked as if
12	it was something that the committee had decided to
13	put a series of articles together to kind of, as
14	sort of a side track while the Human Relations
15	Commission was crafting the ordinance to
16	DR. CASAD: Uh-huh.
17	(14:52:49)
18	MR. ARNOLD: And I'm wondering if you recall
19	what the intended target audience of those
20	articles was? Was it just to hopefully try and
21	DR. CASAD: Just the community at large, I
22	guess, to make sure they understood the issues.
23	It's not somebody trying to stir up their, trouble
24	for them. There was a lot of trouble here already
25	if you happened to be black and were trying to

1 eliminate or alleviate some of that. 2 (14:53:21) 3 MR. ARNOLD: Right. Do you recall also in the same time frame the Fair Housing Coordinating 4 Committee also pursued a signature campaign and 5 they got over a thousand people in Lawrence to 6 7 sign a pledge that they supported fair housing? DR. CASAD: I don't remember that. 8 9 MR. ARNOLD: Okay. It was actually in, the 10 city has actually mapped out the location because everyone, it was published in the Journal-World 11 and everybody also provided their address and the 12 13 city actually found very broad-based support when 14 you looked at where all these people lived, including many, many of them in all-white 15 16 neighborhoods, --17 DR. CASAD: Uh-huh. MR. ARNOLD: -- so it was pretty obvious that 18 19 a fair number of citizens of Lawrence had no 20 qualms about having African-American families 21 living in their neighborhoods. 22 Do you think that, do you have the sense that 23 there was fairly broad-based support in the 24 community for fair housing? DR. CASAD: I don't really have much sense 25

1 for that. I think it was something that we --2 (Phone ringing) 3 Some robo call. We didn't feel a lot of support from anybody 4 other than those that were actively involved in 5 6 it, but I didn't see any organized opposition 7 except to the extent that the realtors perhaps were sub rosa an organized group that opposed it. 8 9 (14:55:04) 10 MR. ARNOLD: Right, yes. Do you recall how the Fair Housing Coordinating Committee came 11 about? I know it was kind of an umbrella 12 13 organization of a number of different [groups]. 14 Were you involved at all in their [activities] and who decided we need this coordinating committee to 15 16 pursue fair housing? 17 DR. CASAD: I have a feeling that I was but I 18 don't remember that, that I was -- I don't know in 19 what capacity. I'm not even sure that I was on 20 that committee. I just was involved because I was 21 willing to do the work. 22 (14:55:51) 23 MR. ARNOLD: I think you and your wife 24 attended a number of the, well, the Human Relations Commission meetings, along with many 25

1	other members of the Fair Housing Coordinating
2	Committee. Do you remember Reverend Richard
3	Dulin, who was in charge of the committee? He was
4	the youth pastor [of Plymouth Congregational
5	Church].
6	DR. CASAD: The name I remember. I don't
7	have a recollection of the person.
8	(14:56:13).
9	MR. ARNOLD: Okay. I was just curious. Your
10	wife I know was involved with United Church Women
11	and the Lawrence League of Women Voters and they
12	both were organizations that were concerned about
13	fair housing.
14	DR. CASAD: Uh-huh.
15	MR. ARNOLD: Was she there representing them
16	at these meetings or was she really there on an
17	individual basis?
18	DR. CASAD: No, I think she may have had some
19	official capacity.
20	MR. ARNOLD: Okay.
21	DR. CASAD: I'm not positive but
22	(14:56:43)
23	MR. ARNOLD: Right. And what got both of you
24	interested in getting involved in support of this
25	issue? Any particular concerns or

1	<b>DR. CASAD:</b> Yes, just our personal beliefs, I
2	think.
3	(14:57)
4	MR. ARNOLD: Okay. Was there a sense among
5	the groups that were supporting this that the
6	ordinance could make a real difference?
7	DR. CASAD: Well, we hoped it could. There
8	was also a feeling that how are you going to
9	enforce this? How are you going to enforce it?
10	And the enforceability was a matter that people
11	were concerned about because they didn't want to
12	have to use strongarm methods if they didn't have
13	to and so that was a factor people were worried
14	about, but and if you're not going to enforce
15	it what good is it? You know, that, there was
16	that kind of discussion.
17	(14:57:51)
18	MR. ARNOLD: I think a year before the Fair
19	Housing Coordinating Committee brought the
20	proposal for a city ordinance up to the Lawrence
21	Human Relations Commission the State of Kansas had
22	considered a fair housing law but it had not
23	passed. Was part of the motivation in pushing it
24	to the City Council the disappointment in the fact
25	that the State had not taken up the issue?

1	DR. CASAD: I don't recall that.
2	(14:58:16)
3	MR. ARNOLD: Okay. Do you remember off the
4	top of your head any particular other individuals
5	who you remember working with that played kind of
6	an important role in pushing the issue forward and
7	drafting the ordinance?
8	DR. CASAD: Well, the only people I recall
9	specifically were Ann Moore and her husband, Tom
10	Moore, and Fred Six, and those are the ones that I
11	worked I worked with Fred basically, he's the
12	only one I really recalled, because my role was in
13	drafting the ordinance and I probably, I collected
14	sample ordinances from other towns, university
15	towns and other towns that had them to use as
16	guidelines to draft the local ordinance here and I
17	think I may have been one of, if not the
18	principal, one of the principal writers who
19	prepared it. Fred was the one who promoted it I
20	think before the council because he was very well
21	known in the community. His parents were very
22	well known and respected and he himself was an up
23	and coming practicing lawyer so he was the one to
24	promote it certainly, and he did.
25	(15:00:32)

1	MR. ARNOLD: But I know you all used for kind
2	of your primary model the Iowa City, Iowa,
3	ordinance. Do you recall why?
4	DR. CASAD: I don't recall why except that we
5	may have thought that was closest to the situation
6	that we found ourselves in here.
7	(15:00:51)
8	MR. ARNOLD: It sounds as if you
9	intentionally targeted university towns, thinking
10	there would be the closest similarity?
11	DR. CASAD: I think we did.
12	MR. ARNOLD: All right.
13	DR. CASAD: But I think there were some other
14	towns involved, but not just the university towns,
15	but I don't remember exactly what all we did.
16	(15:01:10.
17	MR. ARNOLD: Right. Did you all have a sense
18	when you, when the coordinating committee made the
19	proposal to the Human Relations Commission and
20	then they took it up and the ordinance was drafted
21	did you have a degree of confidence that this
22	would be passed by the City Commission? Did you
23	think they'd be receptive to it?
24	DR. CASAD: I thought so by that time. I
25	think there was enough feeling of receptivity

1	somewhere along the line and I thought in the
2	hands of Fred, that it would, he could convince
3	them.
4	(15:01:48)
5	MR. ARNOLD: Did you think that it would sell
6	primarily on the legal arguments or do you think
7	that moral arguments would sway them or some
8	combination?
9	DR. CASAD: Well, I
10	MR. ARNOLD: Or the kind of arguments you
11	even made in your article, which is if we want to
12	alleviate poverty and other social problems among
13	African-Americans housing is one area that's a key
14	to that?
15	DR. CASAD: Well, let's go back to where you
16	started there. I was about to respond to
17	something.
18	MR. ARNOLD: Okay, the legal or moral?
19	DR. CASAD: Yes. I think we felt that if it
20	were emphasized that this is not a legitimate
21	basis for discriminating in residential housing,
22	that the other issues would fall into place. The
23	moral would follow along.
24	(15:03:04)
25	MR. ARNOLD: Did you have a sense that, in

addition to feeling that the City Commission would 1 2 be receptive did you have a sense, again, that the community would be receptive to this, other than 3 4 obviously the real estate agents, who had a vested interest? 5 DR. CASAD: Well, I had, I quess I had some 6 7 doubts as to how enthusiastic the community would be but once we got it on the books they were, I 8 9 thought it was, it worked fairly successfully. 10 (15:03:44) MR. ARNOLD: Now why do you think fair 11 housing was an issue that a number of groups kind 12 13 of coalesced around and felt like that was a topic 14 that should be pushed forward and an ordinance put 15 in place to try --16 DR. CASAD: Well, it was, nationally that was a, there was a great deal of emphasis on that. 17 18 There had been some Supreme Court decisions that 19 made it clear that that was not a legitimate basis 20 for segregating people and that the, we felt that 21 the ordinances would be upheld in the courts, but 22 you had to have an ordinance. 23 (15:04:38) 24 MR. ARNOLD: Right. Were you involved at all

in efforts at the state level to push through a

1	state, similar state law, which I don't think
2	passed until 1969, but did you get involved in
3	that?
4	<b>DR. CASAD:</b> I don't recall being involved in
5	that.
6	(15:04:49)
7	MR. ARNOLD: Okay. Do you remember at the
8	time when the ordinance went before the City
9	Commission and first the proponents made their
10	case and then the opponents argued against it,
11	which, as you've already pointed out, was really
12	only the real estate agents, do you remember what
13	the substance of their argument against it was?
14	DR. CASAD: No, I don't have any specific
15	recollection.
16	MR. ARNOLD: Okay.
17	DR. CASAD: I can assume what their argument
18	was but I don't specifically remember what they
19	said.
20	(15:05:24)
21	MR. ARNOLD: Right. In addition to the legal
22	argument in favor of that you've already talked
23	about I know a number of people also appeared
24	before the City Commission, African-Americans like
25	Jesse Milan, who had been a victim of housing

1	discrimination.
2	DR. CASAD: Uh-huh.
3	MR. ARNOLD: Do you think their presence was
4	important in reinforcing
5	DR. CASAD: Oh, I think so.
6	MR. ARNOLD: Okay.
7	DR. CASAD: Jesse was well, well received in
8	the community and people knew he was not anyone to
9	fear. Yes, his participation I thought was very
10	important.
11	MR. ARNOLD: Good.
12	DR. CASAD: I don't remember who else but
13	there were others.
14	(15:06:17)
15	MR. ARNOLD: Two other things that may have
16	helped sway the commission. The vice chancellor,
17	James Surface, wrote a letter to the City
18	Commission in support of the ordinance, arguing
19	that it fully conformed with university housing
20	policy, and then also the basketball coach, Ted
21	Owens, wrote a letter and said that he fully
22	supported it and kind of gave as an argument in
23	favor of it, you know, when he goes out to recruit
24	athletes, and particularly African-American
25	athletes, he often makes the case to their parents

that Lawrence is a town that they would want their 1 2 son to live in and play sports in and so he felt that this was a strong reflection on the, you 3 know, reputation of the town. To what extent do 4 you think the City Commission would have been 5 swayed by kind of those university positions? 6 7 DR. CASAD: Well, I think they were because they were well stated and certainly people like 8 9 Ted Owens was, the community certainly wants to 10 have a good basketball team and at that time I remember there was a, I remember there was about 11 that time a reaction against, by some of the most, 12 13 you know, ardent segregationists that they ought 14 to change the name of the Jayhawks to the Black 15 Hawks because we're getting too many negroes on 16 the basketball team, but of course they were the only ones who were any good and so that helped to 17 break down the attitudes in the community of 18 19 people that just didn't like black people. 20 (15:08:20)21 MR. ARNOLD: Right. And Fred Six told me

22 when I interviewed him that he thought that the 23 fact that Lawrence was a university town and the 24 university's influence, you know, attracting 25 diverse groups of professors from different areas

1	and different backgrounds, as well as a diverse
2	student body, certainly helped change town
3	attitudes and made it, helped to make it possible
4	for things like the Fair Housing Ordinance to pass
5	do you think that's
6	DR. CASAD: I think that's accurate.
7	(15:08:54)
8	MR. ARNOLD: The ordinance finally passed in
9	July of '67. Later that year the bond issue to
10	build a municipal swimming pool finally passed
11	after a couple of unsuccessful efforts. Do you
12	recall any advocacy for that that you or
13	colleagues of yours were involved in to push for
14	because I understand it was a pretty close vote
15	that time it finally passed.
16	DR. CASAD: I know my wife was involved in it
17	and I know our own personal we took our
18	children to Baldwin mainly to go swimming. There
19	were neighborhood swimming pools around in various
20	neighborhoods and some of them were not segregated
21	but the public pool, to the extent that the
22	Jayhawk Plunge was the public pool, everybody
23	assumed it was, it was segregated and we wouldn't
24	go there and support it, but I'm sure my wife was
25	somehow involved in that promoting the swimming

pool ordinance, but I don't remember myself being actively involved in it.

(15**:**10**:**29)

1

2

3

MR. ARNOLD: Okay. So those two issues were 4 addressed, and obviously fair housing certainly 5 didn't bring about change overnight, but a couple 6 7 years later, in 1969, then continuing into 1970, there was quite a bit of unrest in the town and on 8 9 campus, some of it related to the war in Vietnam 10 but also some of it related to racial injustices. Did you have, or can you share any of your 11 12 perspectives on that violent two-year period and 13 what things were like in town and what you think triggered that level of violence? 14

DR. CASAD: The worst period was '69 and '70 15 16 and that year I was on leave at UCLA and things 17 were just as bad at UCLA. That was the year that Angela Davis was on the faculty and did a great 18 19 deal of agitation that made a lot of people angry, 20 so we had issues of that nature even at UCLA, but I understand that year there was fire in the Union 21 22 building and one of the local cops shot a black 23 student, killed him, and so there was a lot of, lot more ill feeling I guess here because it was 24 25 more concentrated than where we were in west L.A.

1	When I came back I remember I was, I ran for
2	judge, for the district judge. When Judge Frank
3	Gray retired there was no incumbent in the
4	position and I at that time we ran as party
5	nominees, that was before the judicial reform, and
6	I guess I was the last person to run as a Democrat
7	for a judgeship in this district and this was an
8	issue people were interested in, and I would have
9	to say that I believe that I carried the city of
10	Lawrence narrowly but in the whole district my
11	opponent, Jim Paddock, was, he was out, he got,
12	outvoted me for, by a large majority and so I was
13	not elected, but it was a I did make that
14	attempt to assert myself as a person in the
15	community instead of just a professor.
16	(15:13:58)
17	MR. ARNOLD: Right. Were you involved, other
18	than your involvement in the fair housing
19	committee and in assisting to push that ordinance
20	through were you involved in any other community
21	groups that were pushing for various types of
22	change that you remember?
23	DR. CASAD: I know I was on the traffic
24	commission but there were no particular issues of
25	social nature involved there, it was just

controlling the traffic flow. 1 2 I don't recall being involved in other civic issues until -- well, I quess -- I don't -- I just 3 don't remember any. I became -- after I was 4 defeated for the judgeship I decided I'm going to 5 have to be a professor and settle down and do what 6 7 I can here and so from then on I was more concerned about promoting my career, I guess, than 8 promoting other issues in the community. 9 10 (15:15:18) MR. ARNOLD: During the 1960s obviously 11 nationally and down to the local level a number of 12 13 laws were passed, from the Civil Rights Act to the 14 Fair Housing Ordinance in Lawrence, that made a number of different forms of discrimination 15 16 illegal, but obviously in addition to putting those laws in place changing attitudes among the 17 18 public in general is equally important. Did you 19 see, oh, from the 1970s on in your many years 20 living here in Lawrence a fairly rapid change, a 21 slow change? How do you think that attitudes 22 towards racial relations, towards discrimination, 23 evolved over the years? 24 DR. CASAD: Well, I think the national,

legislation at the national level promoted it

25

nationwide and that in turn was reflected in, probably within 10 years there was quite a bit of change here in the community, and by 1970 I'd say it was much better in terms of the relations between the blacks and whites. Segregation was largely eliminated, official segregation, and so on. I don't know, I think it basically, though, it was a reflection of action at the national level. (15:17:12) MR. ARNOLD: Did you feel like you could look back later, reflect back on the passage of the Fair Housing Ordinance here and see that it brought about changes in Lawrence, at least over

DR. CASAD: Well, I don't know, I can't put my finger on cause and effect --

MR. ARNOLD: Right.

19 DR. CASAD: -- but from that time things 20 began to improve in terms of racial relations 21 fairly rapidly.

(15:17:50)

time?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23 MR. ARNOLD: In reflecting back on that 24 period and the role you played in bringing about the Fair Housing Ordinance, bringing it to 25

fruition, what would you say you were most proud 1 2 of in terms of the role you played? DR. CASAD: Well, I quess that's about the 3 only one that I did play much of an active role in 4 is the ordinance, that ordinance, and I do, I did, 5 I think a large part of the drafting was done by 6 7 me to get the materials together and decide on what should go in it. I discussed it with Fred at 8 9 great length, but I guess that would be my major 10 achievement would be the drafting of that ordinance. 11 12 (15:18:49) 13 MR. ARNOLD: Okay. Kind of reflecting back 14 in that period of your life and your involvement in that and kind of your observation, if you were 15 going to talk to a group of young people today 16 17 about how to bring about change what kind of advice would you give them in terms of, you know, 18 19 looking at how change came about in different ways 20 in the 1960s, which was a very activist period, 21 what advice would you give them if they were 22 seeking to bring about change today? 23 DR. CASAD: Well, I certainly was never as 24 sympathetic with violent demonstrations and I really don't, I would not advise people to do 25

1	that. I don't know how effective you can be
2	without it, but I don't know how effective this
3	would have been if there hadn't been some
4	agitation elsewhere in the country in the '60s
5	that did involve some active, well, some coercive
6	measures, like sit-ins and things like that.
7	I always felt that if we can state the
8	arguments clearly enough, then we'll have to
9	depend on people's conscience, and I guess we
10	stated them clearly enough in this case without
11	we didn't have to do any sit-ins or blocking
12	anything.
13	(15:20:58)
14	MR. ARNOLD: Do you think and I think
15	you're absolutely right, I think this is a great
16	example of where a group of concerned citizens and
17	citizens groups came together, identified an issue
18	that they felt strongly about and made a very
19	strong case for it and succeeded. Do you feel
20	like timing helped you out because of what was
21	going on both in the city and nationally, if you
22	had tried it three years earlier it might not have
23	gone through?
24	<b>DR. CASAD:</b> Well, this did not happen it
25	stretched over several years, as I recall.

1 MR. ARNOLD: Right, yes. 2 DR. CASAD: It wasn't overnight. I don't 3 remember exactly when we started on that but I have a feeling it was around '64 or '5. 4 MR. ARNOLD: I think '64, you're right, was 5 when I think that committee formed. 6 7 DR. CASAD: Yes. So it took three or four years actually before it ever came to fruition. 8 9 (15:22:04) 10 MR. ARNOLD: Well, sir, I have come to the end of my questions. Are there any other thoughts 11 you'd like to share or anything I didn't ask that 12 13 you wanted to talk about? 14 DR. CASAD: Oh, I think you've covered it pretty well. I really don't have that much 15 16 personal recollection, you know, it was just, I 17 just remember working on this ordinance and doing 18 a few things to promote it, but other than that I 19 didn't really do much. 20 MR. ARNOLD: Well, I think you played a very 21 important role and the arguments you set forth in 22 the article you wrote that obviously helped sway 23 the public, because, interestingly, there was not 24 -- there were a couple of letters to the editor 25 after it passed but very little outward public

1	opposition, other than the arguments that the real
2	estate agents made, and even then only two of them
3	showed up to state their opposition to it, so I
4	think you all made a very good case and wrote a
5	very good ordinance.
6	So if you don't have anything else I'm
7	finished. I appreciate your time.
8	DR. CASAD: Okay.
9	MR. ARNOLD: Thank you so much.
10	DR. CASAD: Well, thank you.
11	* * * *
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	